

ADA USER JOURNAL

Volume 40
Number 2
June 2019

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Editorial Policy for <i>Ada User Journal</i>	74
Editorial	75
Quarterly News Digest	77
Conference Calendar	91
Forthcoming Events	97
Ada-Europe 2019 Industrial Presentations	
M. Martignano “A “New” C Static Analyzer: the Compiler”	99
T. A. Beyene, C. Herrera, V. Nigam “Verification of Ada Programs with AdaHorn”	103
Special Contribution	
A. Burns, B. Dobbing, T. Vardanega “Guide for the Use of the Ada Ravenscar Profile in High Integrity Systems (Part 2)”	110
Ada-Europe Associate Members (National Ada Organizations)	128
Ada-Europe Sponsors	Inside Back Cover

Quarterly News Digest

Alejandro R. Mosteo

Centro Universitario de la Defensa de Zaragoza, 50090, Zaragoza, Spain; Instituto de Investigación en Ingeniería de Aragón, Mariano Esquillor s/n, 50018, Zaragoza, Spain; email: amosteo@unizar.es

Contents

Ada-related Events	77
Ada-related Resources	80
Ada-related Tools	80
Ada Inside	83
Ada and other Languages	84
Ada Practice	86
Ada in Jest	89

Ada-related Events

[To give an idea about the many Ada-related events organised by local groups, some information is included here. If you are organising such an event feel free to inform us as soon as possible. If you attended one please consider writing a small report for the Ada User Journal.]

FOSDEM 2019 post hoc summary

*From: dirk@orka.cs.kuleuven.be
(Dirk Craeynest)*

*Date: Mon, 25 Feb 2019 07:04:32 -0000
Subject: FOSDEM 2019 Ada Developer
Room - presentations & videos online
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada,
fr.comp.lang.ada, comp.lang.misc*

*** Presentations, videos, pictures
available online ***

9th Ada Developer Room at
FOSDEM 2019

Saturday 2 February 2019

Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB),
Solbosch Campus, Room AW1.125

Avenue Franklin D. Roosevelt Laan 50,
B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Organized in cooperation with
Ada-Europe

[www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/
ada-belgium/events/19/
190202-fosdem.html](http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/events/19/190202-fosdem.html)

fosdem.org/2019/schedule/track/ada

All presentations and video recordings as well as some pictures from the 9th Ada Developer Room, held at FOSDEM 2019 in Brussels recently, are available via the Ada-Belgium and FOSDEM web sites now.

- "Welcome to the Ada DevRoom" by Dirk Craeynest - Ada-Belgium
- "An Introduction to Ada for Beginning and Experienced Programmers" by Jean-Pierre Rosen - Adalog
- "Sequential Programming in Ada: Lessons Learned" by Joakim Strandberg - Mequinox
- "Autonomous Train Control Systems: a First Approach" by Julia Teissl - FH Campus Wien
- "Controlling the Execution of Parallel Algorithms in Ada" by Jan Verschelde - University of Illinois at Chicago
- "Persistence with Ada Database Objects" by Stephane Carrez - Twinlife
- "Shrink your Data to (almost) Nothing with Trained Compression" by Gautier de Montmollin - Ada-Switzerland
- "GSH: an Ada POSIX Shell to Speed Up GNU Builds on Windows" by Nicolas Roche - AdaCore
- "What is Safety-Critical Software, and How Can Ada and SPARK Help?" by Jean-Pierre Rosen - Adalog
- "Secure Web Applications with AWA" by Stephane Carrez - Twinlife
- "Distributed Computing with Ada and CORBA using PolyORB" by Frédéric Praca - Ada-France
- "Cappulada: Smooth Ada Bindings for C++" by Johannes Kliemann - Comptonlit
- "AZip Archive Manager: a full-Ada Open-Source Portable Application" by Gautier de Montmollin - Ada-Switzerland
- "Proof of Pointer Programs with Ownership in SPARK" by Yannick Moy - AdaCore
- "Alternative Languages for Safe and Secure RISC-V Programming" by Fabien Chouteau - AdaCore, in RISC-V DevRoom on Sat 2 Feb
- "RecordFlux: Facilitating Verification of Communication Protocols" by Tobias Reiher - Comptonlit, in Security DevRoom on Sun 3 Feb

Presentation abstracts, speaker bios, pointers to relevant information, copies of slides, links to corresponding pages and video recordings, are available via the

Ada-Belgium and FOSDEM sites at the URLs above.

Some pictures are posted as well. If you have more pictures or other material you would like to share, or know someone who does, then please contact me.

Finally, thanks once more to all presenters and helpers for their work and collaboration, thanks to all the FOSDEM organizers and volunteers, thanks to the many participants for their interest, and thanks to everyone for another nice experience!

Dirk Craeynest, FOSDEM Ada DevRoom coordinator

Dirk.Craeynest@cs.kuleuven.be (for Ada-Belgium/Ada-Europe/SIGAda/WG9)

#AdaFOSDEM #AdaProgramming
#AdaBelgium #AdaEurope

DeCPS workshop in Warsaw

*From: dirk@orka.cs.kuleuven.be.
(Dirk Craeynest)*

*Date: Wed, 3 Apr 2019 22:19:24 -0000
Subject: DeCPS 2019 - Dependable and
Cyber-Physical Systems Engineering
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada,
fr.comp.lang.ada, comp.lang.misc*

Call for Papers

DeCPS 2019 - Workshop on Challenges and new Approaches for Dependable and Cyber-Physical Systems Engineering

14 June 2019, Warsaw, Poland

Co-located with the Ada-Europe 24th International Conference on Reliable Software Technologies

Conference web site:
[http://www.ada-europe.org/
conference2019](http://www.ada-europe.org/conference2019)

--- Scope ---

In recent years, the Internet of Things (IoT) has experienced an extraordinary development with a broad impact on society; however, there is still a gap between the physical world and the cyber one. Cyber Physical Systems (CPS) constitute a new class of engineered systems, integrating software control and autonomous decision making with signals from an uncertain and dynamic environment. Internet transformed the way people interact and deal with information. CPS technology transformed the way people interact with engineered

systems. For this type of systems, it is necessary not only ensuring the safety of physical devices but also other factors such as information about customers, suppliers, and organizational strategies need to be secured. In the context of cyber systems, the Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies can contribute to manage a huge amount of heterogeneous data that come from different sources without human intervention. To deliver certification, standards for machine safety are highly recommended as they give confidence to the regulatory. The generic standard for safety-related hardware and software might be applicable, however, due to increasing autonomy of robots there is still a potential for evolution of such regulations or standards. The proper combination of AI, CPS and IoT is therefore fundamental.

CPS are considered a disruptive technology which will transform the traditional manufacturing into Industry 4.0 solutions, and are used in a very wide spectrum of applications: smart mobility, autonomous driving, digital healthcare, smart grids and buildings, mobile co-operating autonomous robotic systems, digital consumer products and services. "In conclusion, the emerging Digital (R)-evolution relies heavily on Embedded Intelligent Systems technologies in domains where it is paramount that Europe takes leadership role" (Laila Gide, "The pathway to digital transformation: an opportunity for Europe", ARTEMIS Magazine 20 May 2016).

This workshop aims to provide a platform to industrial practitioners, researchers and engineers in academia to exchange of their ideas, research results, experiences in the field of dependable and cyber physical systems engineering, both a theoretical and practical perspective. To foster visibility and interaction, participation in the workshop will be also open to conference participants (at no extra cost).

--- Topics of interest ---

The topics of interest includes, but are not limited to:

- * Vehicle of the Future
- * Transport and Mobility
- * Industry 4.0 in transportation sector
- * Security and comfort of the end-user
- * Human/Machine Interaction
- * Safety and Security
- * Industrial experiments and case studies
- * Integration of Internet of Things and Cloud Computing
- * Evolution of standards and certification processes
- * Impact of Artificial Intelligence in CPS

The workshop will also include contributions from relevant projects in the

domain, such as Future Factories in the Cloud (FiC), Productive 4.0, AMASS, ENABLE-S3, SafeCOP, SCOTT, etc.

--- Paper submission ---

Submission of regular papers (4 pages, AUJ style) at the following page: <https://easychair.org/conferences/?conf=decps2019>

The post-workshop proceedings will be published in the Ada User Journal (<http://www.ada-europe.org/auj/guide/>).

--- Important dates ---

- * Submission deadline: 30 April 2019
- * Notification to authors: 17 May 2019
- * Workshop: 14 June 2019
- * After-workshop final version: 15 September 2019
- * Publication in Ada User Journal: December 2019

--- Track Chairs ---

- * Faiz Ul Muram, Mälardalen Univ., Sweden

--- Steering Committee ---

- * Daniela Cancila, CEA LIST, France
- * Martin Torngren, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
- * Alessandra Bagnato, SOFTEAM, France
- * Cristina De Luca, Infineon Technologies Austria AG Austria
- * Silvia Mazzini, INTECS Italy
- * Laurent Rioux, Thales, France
- * Barbara Gallina, Mälardalen Univ., Sweden
- * Luis Miguel Pinho, Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Portugal

Dirk.Craeynest@cs.kuleuven.be, Ada-Europe 2019 Publicity Chair

Ada-Belgium Spring 2019 Event

From: dirk@orka.cs.kuleuven.be.

(Dirk Craeynest)

Date: Sun, 5 May 2019 19:35:53 -0000

Subject: Ada-Belgium Spring 2019 Event, Sun 12 May 2019

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada, fr.comp.lang.ada, be.comp.programming

 Ada-Belgium Spring 2019 Event
 Sunday, May 12, 2019, 12:00-19:00
 Wavre area, south of Brussels, Belgium
 including at 15:00
 2019 Ada-Belgium General Assembly
 and at 16:00
 Ada Round-Table Discussion
<http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/events/local.html>

*** Announcement

The next Ada-Belgium event will take place on Sunday, May 12, 2019 in the Wavre area, south of Brussels.

For the 12th year in a row, Ada-Belgium organizes their "Spring Event", which starts at noon, runs until 7pm, and includes an informal lunch, the 26th General Assembly of the organization, and a round-table discussion on Ada-related topics the participants would like to bring up.

*** Schedule

- * 12:00 welcome and getting started (please be there!)
- * 12:15 informal lunch
- * 15:00 Ada-Belgium General Assembly
- * 16:00 Ada round-table + informal discussions
- * 19:00 end

*** Participation

Everyone interested (members and non-members alike) is welcome at any or all parts of this event.

For practical reasons registration is required. If you would like to attend, please send an email before Thursday, May 9, 21:00, to Dirk Craeynest <Dirk.Craeynest@cs.kuleuven.be> with the subject "Ada-Belgium Spring 2019 Event", so you can get precise directions to the place of the meeting. Even if you already responded to the preliminary announcement, please reconfirm your participation ASAP.

If you are a member but have not renewed your affiliation yet, please do so by paying the appropriate fee before the General Assembly (you have also received a printed request via normal mail). If you are interested to join Ada-Belgium, please register by filling out the 2019 membership application form [1] and by paying the appropriate fee before the General Assembly. After payment you will receive a receipt from our treasurer and you are considered a member of the organization for the year 2019 with all member benefits [2]. Early enrollment ensures you receive the full Ada-Belgium membership benefits (including the Ada-Europe indirect membership benefits package).

As mentioned at earlier occasions, we have a limited stock of documentation sets and Ada related CD-ROMs that were distributed at previous events, as well as some back issues of the Ada User Journal [3]. These will be available on a first-come first-serve basis at the General Assembly for current and new members. (Please indicate in the above-mentioned registration e-mail that you're interested, so we can bring enough copies.)

[1] <http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/forms/member-form19.html>

[2] <http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/member-benefit.html>
 [3] <http://www.ada-europe.org/auj/home/>

*** Informal lunch

The organization will provide food and beverage to all Ada-Belgium members. Non-members who want to participate at the lunch are also welcome: they can choose to join the organization or pay the sum of 15 Euros per person to the Treasurer of the organization.

*** General Assembly

All Ada-Belgium members have a vote at the General Assembly, can add items to the agenda, and can be a candidate for a position on the Board [4]. See the separate official convocation [5] for all details.

[4] <http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/board/>

[5] <http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/events/19/190512-abga-conv.html>

*** Ada Round-Table Discussion

As in recent years, we plan to keep the technical part of the Spring event informal as well. We will have a round-table discussion on Ada-related topics the participants would like to bring up. We invite everyone to briefly mention how they are using Ada in their work or non-work environment, and/or what kind of Ada-related activities they would like to embark on. We hope this might spark some concrete ideas for new activities and collaborations.

*** Directions

To permit this more interactive and social format, the event takes place at private premises in the Wavre area, south of Brussels. As instructed above, please inform us by e-mail if you would like to attend, and we'll provide you precise directions to the place of the meeting. Obviously, the number of participants we can accommodate is not unlimited, so don't delay...

Looking forward to meet many of you!

Dirk Craeynest, President Ada-Belgium
 Dirk.Craeynest@cs.kuleuven.be

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our sponsors for their continued support of our activities: AdaCore, and KU Leuven (University of Leuven).

If you would also like to support Ada-Belgium, find out about the extra Ada-Belgium sponsorship benefits:

<http://www.cs.kuleuven.be/~dirk/ada-belgium/member-benefit.html>
 #sponsor

Ada-Europe 2019

From: dirk@orka.cs.kuleuven.be. (Dirk Craeynest)

Date: Thu, 9 May 2019 05:47:27 -0000

Subject: 24th Int. Conf. Reliable Software Technologies, Ada-Europe 2019

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada,
fr.comp.lang.ada, comp.lang.misc

Call for Participation

*** PROGRAM SUMMARY ***

24th International Conference on Reliable Software Technologies - Ada-Europe 2019

11-14 June 2019, Warsaw, Poland

<http://www.ada-europe.org/conference2019>

Organized by EDC and Ada-Europe, in cooperation with ACM SIGAda, SIGBED, SIGPLAN and the Ada Resource Association (ARA)

*** Online registration open ***

*** Early registration discount until May 20 ***

*** Extensive info available on conference web site ***

*** Highly recommended to book your hotel ASAP ***

The 24th International Conference on Reliable Software Technologies - Ada-Europe 2019 visits Poland, for the first time, and is hosted in Warsaw from the 11th to the 14th of June. The conference is the latest in a series of annual international conferences started in the early 80's, under the auspices of Ada-Europe, the international organization that promotes knowledge and use of Ada and Reliable Software in general, into academic education and research, and industrial practice.

The Ada-Europe series of conferences has over the years become a leading international forum for providers, practitioners and researchers in reliable software technologies. These events highlight the increased relevance of Ada in general and in safety- and security-critical systems in particular, and provide a unique opportunity for interaction and collaboration between academics and industrial practitioners.

Extensive information is on the conference web site, such as an overview of the program, the list of accepted papers and industrial presentations, and descriptions of workshops, tutorials, keynote presentations, and social events. Also check the conference site for registration, accommodation and travel information. The 12-page Advance Program brochure is available there as well.

The 2019 edition of the conference features a number of important innovations:

- lower registration fee for conference, unified for all participants;
- further reduced fee for all authors;
- lower registration fee for all tutorials;
- journal-based open-access publication model for peer-reviewed papers;
- an educational tutorial offered especially for those new to Ada;
- more compact program with two core days (Wed & Thu); tutorials on Tuesday, then exhibition opening mid-afternoon, followed by welcome aperitif for all participants;
- full-day DeCPS workshop on Friday (complementary with registration).

Quick overview

- Tue 11: tutorials, opening exhibition + AE GA, welcome reception
- Wed 12 & Thu 13: core program
- Fri 14: workshop

Proceedings

- peer-reviewed papers in open-access journal
- industrial presentation and tutorial abstracts in Ada User Journal

Conference & Program Chair

- Tullio Vardanega, University of Padua, Italy tullio.vardanega@unipd.it

Keynote speakers

- Tucker Taft, AdaCore, USA, "A 2020 View of Ada"
- other keynote to be confirmed (see conference web site)

Workshop (full day)

- 6th International Workshop on "Challenges and new Approaches for Dependable and Cyber-Physical Systems Engineering" (DeCPS 2019)

Tutorials (full day)

- "Controlling I/O Devices with Ada, using the Remote I/O Protocol" Philip Munts, Sweden
- "An Introduction to Ada" Jean-Pierre Rosen, Adalog, France

Papers and Presentations

- sessions on Assurance Issues in Critical Systems, Tooling Aid for Verification, Best Practices for Critical Applications, Uses of Ada in Challenging Environments, Verification Challenges, Real-Time Systems
- 9 refereed technical papers
- 8 industrial presentations and experience reports
- a speaker's corner on "Experience from 40 years of teaching Ada"

Vendor exhibition and networking area

- area features exhibitor booths, project posters, reserved vendor tables, and general networking options
- 4 companies already committed: AdaCore, PTC Developer Tools, Rapita Systems, Vector; some exhibition slots still available
- vendor presentation sessions in core program

Social events

- each day: coffee breaks in the exhibition space and sit-down lunches offer ample time for interaction and networking
- Tuesday afternoon: opening of exhibition & Ada-Europe General Assembly, Welcome Aperitif on terrace overlooking Warsaw Airport
- Wednesday evening: transportation to restaurant in town where Chopin was born, banquet with Polish cuisine, drinks, and live piano music
- Best Paper and Best Presentation awards will be handed out

Registration

- online registration is open at <<https://registration.ada-europe.org/index.html>>
- early registration discount until Monday May 20, 2019
- special low fee for authors
- discount for Ada-Europe, ACM SIGAda, SIGBED and SIGPLAN members
- extra discount for students
- registration includes coffee breaks and lunches
- full conference registration includes all social events
- tutorial fees substantially reduced
- payment possible by credit card or bank transfer
- see registration page for all details

Promotion

- recommended Twitter hashtags: #AdaEurope and/or #AdaEurope2019
- 12-page Advance Program brochure online at <http://www.ada-europe.org/conference2019/AE-2019%20AP.pdf>
- support Ada-Europe 2019 with promotional poster at http://www.ada-europe.org/conference2019/picts/AE2019_poster.pdf

Please make sure you book accommodation as soon as possible.

For more info and latest updates see the conference web site at <http://www.ada-europe.org/conference2019>.

We look forward to seeing you in Warsaw in June 2019!

Our apologies if you receive multiple copies of this announcement. Please circulate widely.

Dirk Craeynest, Ada-Europe'2019 Publicity Chair

Dirk.Craeynest@cs.kuleuven.be

*** 24th Intl. Conf. on Reliable Software Technologies - Ada-Europe'2019
June 11-14, 2019 * Warsaw, Poland *
www.ada-europe.org/conference2019

Ada-related Resources

Ada on Social Media

From: Alejandro R. Mosteo
<amosteo@unizar.es>

Date: Thu May 23 2019

Subject: Ada on Social Media

On March 12, 2019, Maxim Reznik created an English-language Telegram chat group, called "Ada", with description "Ada Programming Language and related technologies". It can be joined at https://t.me/ada_lang

On other front, the Google+ Ada Community seems to no longer exist.

Ada groups on various social media:

- LinkedIn: 2_813 (+101) members [1]
- Reddit: 2_243 (+343) members [2]
- StackOverflow: 1_183 (+183) watchers [3]
- Freenode: 87 (-17) users [4]
- Gitter: 42 (-15) people [5]
- Telegram: 47 (new!) users [6]
- Twitter: 6 (-2) tweeters [7]

[1] <https://www.linkedin.com/groups/114211/>

[2] <http://www.reddit.com/r/ada/>

[3] <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/tagged/ada>

[4] #Ada on irc.freenode.net

[5] <https://gitter.im/ada-lang>

[6] https://t.me/ada_lang

[7] <https://twitter.com/search?src=typd&q=%23AdaProgramming%20since%3A2019-02-23%20until%3A2019-05-23>

Repositories of Open Source Software

From: Alejandro R. Mosteo
<amosteo@unizar.es>

Date: Thu May 23 2019

Subject: Repositories of Open Source software

GitHub: 603 (+90) developers [1]

Rosetta Code: 664 (+ 9) examples [2]

36 (+3) developers [3]

Sourceforge: 270 (+5) projects [4]

Open Hub: 209 (+3) projects [5]

Bitbucket: 87 (+5) repositories [6]

Codelabs: 46 (+1) repositories [7]

AdaForge: 8 repositories [8]

[1] <https://github.com/search?q=language%3AAda&type=Users>

[2] <http://rosettacode.org/wiki/Category:Ada>

[3] http://rosettacode.org/wiki/Category:Ada_User

[4] <https://sourceforge.net/directory/language:ada/>

[5] <https://www.openhub.net/tags?names=ada>

[6] <https://bitbucket.org/repo/all?name=ada&language=ada>

[7] <http://git.codelabs.ch/>

[8] <http://forge.ada-ru.org/adaforge>

Language popularity rankings

From: Alejandro R. Mosteo
<amosteo@unizar.es>

Date: Thu May 23 2019

Subject: Ada in language popularity rankings

- TIOBE Index: 36 (0.326%) [1]

- IEEE Spectrum (general): 46 [2]

- IEEE Spectrum (embedded): 13 [2]

[1] <https://www.tiobe.com/tiobe-index/>

[2] <https://spectrum.ieee.org/static/interactive-the-top-programming-languages-2018>

Ada-related Tools

Debugging Ada programs

From: "Randy Brukardt"
<randy@rrsoftware.com>

Date: Tue, 2 Apr 2019 17:07:24 -0500

Subject: Re: Intervention needed?

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Does anyone spend much time in a debugger when writing Ada? Almost all of the time I do it is to track down compiler bugs (hopefully something that the average Ada user doesn't do often). With the default exception information, there is little need to debug anything the majority of the time.

Certainly, moving detection to compile-time is even better. But I don't see that changing the mostly non-existent use of debuggers much.

From: "Dmitry A. Kazakov"

<mailbox@dmitry-kazakov.de>

Date: Wed, 3 Apr 2019 09:29:20 +0200

> Does anyone spend much time in a debugger when writing Ada?

Well if there were a working one. GDB does not count.

I am using tracing, but there are few cases where debugger could be easier to use. In the debugger you could inspect the states of variables and of other tasks. And you don't need to modify the code. It is quite often that I have to add, in addition to "standard" tracing, some more extensive tracing which I remove later.

[...]

From: "Dmitry A. Kazakov"

<mailbox@dmitry-kazakov.de>

Date: Wed, 3 Apr 2019 19:15:20 +0200

On 2019-04-03 18:16, Simon Wright wrote:

> "Dmitry A. Kazakov"

<mailbox@dmitry-kazakov.de> writes:

> [...]

>> Other debuggers work, GDB does not. If you have an Ada project of a moderate size GDB stops working.

>

> How big is "moderate"?

In none of my projects GDB works. I never tried to figure out if that is related to the number of compilation units or number of library projects involved.

When you click Debug->Initialize->your-main-program in GPS and debugger does not start you know you reached the point.

From: Maciej Sobczak

<see.my.homepage@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 3 Apr 2019 22:44:03 -0700

> In none of my projects GDB works.

>

> P.S. It never worked reliable in GPS and I bet it never will.

This is very troubling. I understand the sentiment here that Ada is so good in error prevention that debuggers are not needed at all, but what I find in projects I'm related with is that debuggers are not used for debugging anyway.

The major use for debuggers that I see is in integration testing, where test procedures expect particular values in particular variables (or even exact memory locations) in particular circumstances. The test is successful if such expectations are confirmed. Even for a presumably 100% correct program such a test has to be done if foreseen by project plans.

So, we have another paradox: Ada is so good in error prevention that the community does not care about having a proper debugger, and then the lack of working debugger prevents people from

choosing Ada for projects that have rigorous integration testing culture. Part of the paradox is that such projects happen to be safety-critical, where Ada is supposed to be the preferred solution. And then they use C, where debuggers work like a charm.

Again: debuggers are not only for debugging and you better get them working right (by, well... debugging them?).

From: Maciej Sobczak

<see.my.homepage@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 4 Apr 2019 22:45:17 -0700

> As gdb can be scripted, the tests that Maciej describes can probably be automated,

Yes.

> albeit with considerable effort,

Not really. I would say there is no need for this effort to be higher than with any other form of test automation. Note that as with anything else in software, recurring problems can be mitigated by additional code. That is, if testing this way is difficult, then the difficulty is similar for the whole class of similar tests and as such that difficulty can be refactored away to additional utility (library/framework/etc.) with simpler (higher-level) interface.

> especially if the scripts should be robust to evolution of the SW under test (changing the line numbers of the required breakpoints, etc.)

This is a wider problem of traceability. You have to solve this problem anyway for the coverage analysis, for example. And the solution, whatever you happen to use (like tool-readable labels in source comments), will help with debugging, too.

In any case, yes, some projects need the debugger to test individual memory locations. The lack of proper tools is a technology risk.

> However, I don't think that gdb or other current debuggers are ideal tools for automated checking of internal states.

They are not. But a non-ideal working debugger is still better than a not working one.

From: Niklas Holsti

<niklas.holsti@tidorum.invalid>

Date: Wed, 3 Apr 2019 20:23:36 +0300

On 19-04-03 01:07, Randy Brukardt wrote:

> Does anyone spend much time in a debugger when writing Ada?

I don't. I can't remember when I last used gdb or any other debugger, and in my ~30 years of Ada use I estimate that I have used a debugger on perhaps ten occasions. I have slightly more often used "monitor" programs to examine and alter memory and register contents when analysing problems in embedded programs, and

those monitor programs can perhaps be considered crude debuggers. However, these cases involved the effects and meanings of HW control registers rather than ordinary program variables.

A propos, the name "debugger" is IMO one of the unfortunate historical misnomers in the programming domain. It is a misnomer because a "debugger" like gdb should certainly not be our main tool for removing bugs from programs. Diving into the debugger as the first step of analysing a program failure is akin to starting a new project by diving into coding and skipping the design phase. Moreover, the activity of removing a bug from program, which should be the meaning of the term "debugging", should certainly not consist just of a gdb/debugger session.

[...]

From: Bill Findlay

<findlaybill@blueyonder.co.uk>

Date: Wed, 03 Apr 2019 18:48:42 +0100

On 3 Apr 2019, Niklas Holsti wrote:

> On 19-04-03 01:07, Randy Brukardt wrote:

>> Does anyone spend much time in a debugger when writing Ada?

> I don't. I can't remember when I last used gdb or any other debugger, and in my ~30 years of Ada use I estimate that I have used a debugger on perhaps ten occasions.

I can trump that.

I have *never* used a "debugger" in much the same time with Ada.

~30 years ago I raced an experienced programmer who was looking for an error in his code with the DEC Ada debugger, while I inspected his compilation listing. I won.

VisualAda 1.2.1

From: Alex Gamper

<alby.gamper@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, 17 May 2019 20:26:55 -0700

Subject: ANN: VisualAda (Ada Integration for Visual Studio 2017 & 2019) release 1.2.1

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Dear Ada Community

VisualAda version 1.2.1 has been released.

Fixes include the following:

- UWP DLL is linking with both GCC and MS.
- UWP XAML application project template now correctly add project dependencies .
- Install / Uninstall Ada menu items.
- Fix determining path to gdb.exe.

- Minimum supported version of Visual Studio is now 2017 Update 6 (15.0.27413).

Please feel free to download the free plugin from the following URL: <https://marketplace.visualstudio.com/items?itemName=AlexGamper/VisualAda>

Gnu Emacs Ada mode

From: Stephen Leake
<stephen_leake@stephe-leake.org>
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2019 10:25:34 -0700
Subject: Gnu Emacs Ada mode 6.1.0 released.

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Gnu Emacs Ada mode 6.1.0 is now available in GNU ELPA. This is a medium feature release; partial file parsing is now supported when using the process parser, and error correction is improved. This means the time spent parsing is independent of the file size, so it is fast enough even on the largest files.

The process parser requires a manual compile step, after the normal list-packages installation:

```
cd ~/.emacs.d/elpa/ada-mode-6.1.0
./build.sh
```

This requires AdaCore gnatcoll packages which you may not have installed; see ada-mode.info Installation for help in installing them.

AdaSubst

From: "J-P. Rosen" <rosen@adalog.fr>
Date: Fri, 19 Apr 2019 08:47:50 +0200
Subject: [Ann] Adasubst 1.6r5 released
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Adalog is pleased to announce the release of a new version of AdaSubst.

This releases adds a new function: Instantiate. It replaces all generic instantiations with equivalent, explicit code. This is useful if your coding standard disallows generics on the ground that it is "hidden code", or if you use a validation or testing tool that does not handle generics properly.

Adasubst can be downloaded from <http://www.adalog.fr/en/components.html#adasubst>

And of course, it's free software.

Enjoy!

Win32 and WinRT Bindings

From: alby.gamper@gmail.com
Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2019 21:05:21 -0700
Subject: Ann: Win32 and WinRT bindings update
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Dear Ada Community

The Win32 and WinRT bindings have both been updated to the latest Microsoft

SDK version (10.0.18362). This version corresponds to the 19H1 release of Windows 10.

Packages/Source can be found at

<https://github.com/Alex-Gamper/Ada-Win32>

<https://github.com/Alex-Gamper/Ada-WinRT>

Alex

Simple Components

From: "Dmitry A. Kazakov"
<mailbox@dmitry-kazakov.de>
Date: Tue, 14 May 2019 19:05:57 +0200
Subject: ANN: Simple Components v4.40
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

The software version provides implementations of smart pointers, directed graphs, sets, maps, B-trees, stacks, tables, string editing, unbounded arrays, expression analyzers, lock-free data structures, synchronization primitives (events, race condition free pulse events, arrays of events, reentrant mutexes, deadlock-free arrays of mutexes), pseudo-random non-repeating numbers, symmetric encoding and decoding, IEEE 754 representations support, streams, multiple connections server/client designing tools and various protocols implementations.

<http://www.dmitry-kazakov.de/ada/components.htm>

Changes to the previous version:

- The package OpenSSL was added to provide bindings to OpenSSL;
- The package GNAT.Sockets.Server.OpenSSL was added to support secure servers based on OpenSSL;
- Multiple procedures were added to the package GNAT.Sockets.Connection_State_Machine.ELV_MAX_Cube_Client to support devices topology management and time management;
- Race condition in Object.Release fixed. The profile of the primitive operation Object.Decrement_Count has been modified.

GtkAda Contributions

From: "Dmitry A. Kazakov"
<mailbox@dmitry-kazakov.de>
Date: Tue, 14 May 2019 19:08:07 +0200
Subject: ANN: GtkAda Contributions v3.24

The software extends GtkAda 3.14.15, an Ada bindings to GTK+. It deals with the following issues:

- Tasking support;
- Custom models for tree view widget;
- Custom cell renderers for tree view widget;
- Multi-columnned derived model;

- Extension derived model (to add columns to an existing model);
- Abstract caching model for directory-like data;
- Tree view and list view widgets for navigational browsing of abstract caching models;
- File system navigation widgets with wildcard filtering;
- Resource styles;
- Capturing resources of a widget;
- Embeddable images;
- Some missing subprograms and bug fixes;
- Measurement unit selection widget and dialogs;
- Improved hue-luminance-saturation color model;
- Simplified image buttons and buttons customizable by style properties;
- Controlled Ada types for GTK+ strong and weak references;
- Simplified means to create lists of strings;
- Spawning processes synchronously and asynchronously with pipes;
- Capturing asynchronous process standard I/O by Ada tasks and by text buffers;
- Source view widget support;
- SVG images support.

http://www.dmitry-kazakov.de/ada/gtkada_contributions.htm

Changes to the previous version:

- The package GLib.Time_Zone was added

GCC 9.1.0 for MacOS

From: Simon Wright
<simon@pushface.org>
Date: Wed, 08 May 2019 20:00:57 +0100
Subject: ANN: GCC 9.1.0 for MacOS
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

GCC 9.1.0 for Mac OS X El Capitan (10.11) is available at https://sourceforge.net/projects/gnuada/files/GNAT_GCC%20Mac%20OS%20X/9.1.0/

Also runs on macOS Mojave (10.14) and (untested) on Sierra (10.12) and High Sierra (10.13).

* DO NOT USE ON EARLIER
VERSIONS OF OS X *

The native/ directory contains the 9.1.0 x86_64-apple-darwin15 compiler, together with tools from GNAT CE 2018 and various Github and other repositories.

The arm-eabi/ directory contains the 9.1.0 arm-eabi Darwin-hosted cross compiler. GNAT Community 2019

From: Alejandro R. Mosteo
<amosteo@unizar.es>

Date: Thu May 30 13:58:51 CEST 2019
Subject: GNAT Community 2019 released

As seen in several social media sources, the new Community edition of GNAT has arrived and is available for download at:

<https://www.adacore.com/download>

From the release announce at [1] by Nicolas Setton:

We are pleased to announce that GNAT Community 2019 has been released! See <https://www.adacore.com/download>.

This release is supported on the same platforms as last year:

- Windows, Linux, and Mac 64-bit native
- RISC-V hosted on Linux
- ARM 32 bits hosted on 64-bit Linux, Mac, and Windows

GNAT Community now includes a number of fixes and enhancements, most notably:

- The SPARK language now has support for pointers, a fantastic milestone for the language! See <https://blog.adacore.com/using-pointers-in-spark> for more information about this new feature.
- The installer for Windows and Linux now contains pre-built binary distributions of Libadalang, a very powerful language tooling library for Ada and SPARK.

Check out the README for some additional platform-specific notes.

We hope you enjoy using SPARK and Ada!

[1] <https://blog.adacore.com/gnat-community-2019-is-here>

Componolit Ada Runtime 1.0.0

From: u/marc-kd

Date: Tue May 28 2019 14:41:16
GMT+0200 (CEST)

Subject: Componolit Ada Runtime 1.0.0
Newsgroups: [reddit:/r/ada/](https://www.reddit.com/r/ada/) [1]

<https://github.com/Componolit/ada-runtime/releases/tag/v1.0.0>

[News Editor - From the above link:]

Generic Ada Runtime - A downsized Ada runtime which can be adapted to different platforms.

The Componolit Ada Runtime 1.0.0 builds upon GCC 8.3 and is compatible with GNAT Community 2019. It provides the following runtime features:

- Interfaces (C)

- Secondary stack
- Exception raising
- 64bit arithmetics
- Unchecked conversion

The following features are DEPRECATED and will be removed in future releases:

- GNAT IO

Parts of the runtime are proven to have no runtime errors:

- Secondary stack allocator
- String handling

Supported platforms:

- Genode
- Linux

[1] https://www.reddit.com/r/ada/comments/btzk99/componolit_ada_runtime_100/

Ada Inside

Boeing 737 MAX Software

From: Paul Rubin

<no.email@nospam.invalid>
Date: Fri, 05 Apr 2019 14:16:20 -0700
Subject: Boeing 737 and 737 MAX software
Newsgroups: [comp.lang.ada](https://www.complang.org/)

Does anyone know anything about this? It has been under some criticism lately.

I have heard that the 777 software was almost entirely in Ada. It also sounds as if Boeing's software operation may have slipped in recent years, not good news for the 737 MAX.

From: Niklas Holsti

<niklas.holsti@tidorum.invalid>
Date: Sat, 6 Apr 2019 21:45:24 +0300

[...]

As I've read more about these accidents than I usually do, I will boldly (and perhaps foolhardily) describe how I have understood it. All info is from public sources, I have no insider info. I am not a pilot, and moreover I write from recollection of my reading and have no references to give, so reader beware.

> On 19-04-06 20:30 , Dennis Lee Bieber wrote:

>

> Unless things have changed severely -- GE Aviation (formerly Smith's Aerospace, formerly Lear Siegler) produces the 737 FMS software (and also the processor boxes).

>

> However, I have the impression (from TV news) the software is functioning /as designed/.

All info I have seen agrees with that.

> Some reports have indicated that Boeing designed the hardware (and corresponding software requirements) such that only one sensor is used for the MCAS subsystem

There are two angle-of-attack (AoA) sensors, one on each side of the nose. They feed two redundant computers, each able to run MCAS. Normally only one MCAS instance is running and it uses only its "own" AoA sensor.

The original design of MCAS gave it rather little control authority, which is probably why this single-sensor approach was accepted.

> -- and a fault in that sensor results in MCAS attempting to prevent a (non) stall by pushing the nose down.

Yes, but MCAS does not apply a temporary nose-down command -- as if pushing the stick forward -- it changes the pitch trim, the overall angle of the horizontal stabilizer, giving the plane a permanent tendency to dive. This trim change can be overridden by the pilots, but only if they notice that it has happened.

In the original MCAS design, one activation of MCAS changed the pitch trim by a small amount, at most 0.6 degrees IIRC, and this limit was reported in the MCAS design documentation to the authorities. During testing, Boeing found that it was not enough, and they increased it quite a lot, to over 2 degrees IIRC. One source I read claimed that this change was not updated in the documentation shown to the authorities.

Moreover, by design MCAS would repeat this trim change, with a certain minimum interval, as long as the AoA sensor reading remained too large and indicated a risk of stall. This iteration should converge and stop if the sensor is working, but if the sensor fails and is stuck at a high AoA (the false value reported in the second accident was around 60 degrees, IIRC) then MCAS will incrementally and cumulatively keep increasing the pitch trim and the diving tendency. If the pilots do not understand what is happening, they will find it ever harder to counteract the "dive" trim with stick inputs.

> Some hints in the news that Boeing is changing the requirements (well, in truth, the news only says Boeing is changing the software) to have MCAS cross-reference with other flight parameter data -- and making an optional bit of hardware (additional sensors) standard.

AIUI the modified MCAS will read both AoA sensors and will disable itself if they disagree, and the disagreement will also be reported by a display. This display is the new piece of HW which used to be an option. There are no new sensors, AIUI.

I believe Boeing are also changing the minimum interval between MCAS activations -- perhaps even allowing only one activation -- so as to prevent a cumulatively increasing "dive" trim.

In summary, it seems to me that the criticality of MCAS, and thus the need for redundant sensors, was not realized for two reasons: (1) in its initial design, MCAS command authority was small, and (2) the possibility of multiple repeated commands (due to a stuck sensor) and the resulting large cumulative command (large change of pitch trim) was not considered.

A kind of "criticality creep".

*From: Dennis Lee Bieber
<wlfraed@ix.netcom.com>*

Date: Fri, 12 Apr 2019 18:15:07 -0400

On Fri, 12 Apr 2019 00:46:31 -0700, tranngocduong@gmail.com declaimed the following:

> I know nothing about the software. But I don't think it is written in Ada. If it was, programmers must have chosen a wrong subtype.

It's Ada... (In the past, I was doing maintenance on the FMS "BootROM" code -- which, while not the actual run-time flight software, is responsible for doing CRC checks of the software and databases, reading new software from dataloaders, and loading which application is to run based upon external settings. The FMS software links with the same base "OS".

[...]

*From: Niklas Holsti
<niklas.holsti@tidorum.invalid>*

Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2019 21:20:19 +0300

On 19-04-18 19:21, tranngocduong@gmail.com wrote:

[...]

> To my limited knowledge, AoA is a critical parameter that is used by many flight control algorithms, not just the MCAS. The real issue is thus the failure to detect an unreliable sensor. If the failure was a "feature, not bug", the entire flight software (and its certificate) would be questioned.

I've read rumours that even if the U.S. FAA lets the fixed 737 MAX fly again, other air safety authorities (Europe, for example) might not be satisfied, for that very reason -- suspicion that the flight software process was at fault.

From more recent descriptions of the two crashes, it seems that the problem also involves complex interaction between MCAS, the enabling or disabling of the elevation trim motors, restarts of the control computer, and the fact that manual correction of the elevation trim becomes impossibly hard when the MCAS-commanded large "dive" trim applies large aerodynamic forces to the trim

mechanism. Thus the problem was not only in the software process, but also in the controllability of the aircraft under anomalies -- a chain of failures, as typical for accidents.

> [...] Would Boeing as a company risk its very existence by committing such a big mistake? I don't think so.

The suspicion involves Boeing sliding down two slippery slopes, as I understand it:

- 1) For MCAS in particular, its control authority was greatly increased from its first design to the flying version, but this was not propagated into a new consideration of its criticality.
- 2) For the process in general, an increasing complacency ("we know how to do it") and increasing delegation of checks from the FAA to Boeing (and other airplane builders), combined with specific driving forces for 737 MAX (urgency + desire to avoid pilot retraining).

I am reminded of the Space Shuttle O-rings... and perhaps also of the scandals with automotive SW hiding emissions, leading to multi-billion losses for the guilty European companies...

From: Paul Rubin

<no.email@nospam.invalid>

Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2019 13:20:29 -0700

Niklas Holsti

<niklas.holsti@tidorum.invalid>
writes:

> On the issue of Ada subtypes, it seems to me that if the SW specification, design and coding considers sensor faults (as it of course should), the normal approach for such critical SW

One of the criticisms of the decisions leading to the MCAS software is that the software is certified only at DO-178B level C, defined as software whose consequences are (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DO-178B>):

Major – Failure is significant, but has a lesser impact than a Hazardous failure (for example, leads to passenger discomfort rather than injuries) or significantly increases crew workload (safety related)

This is instead of level A (catastrophic, the whole plane can be lost), or level B (hazardous, people can be injured). The rationale was that at worst MCAS going wrong would change the nose pitch by a few degrees and then the pilot could fix it. They didn't consider the possibility of it activating over and over again, tilting a few more degrees each time.

Since the software was treated as level C, its development and certification process was less rigorous than what it would have gotten at a more critical level.

Certifying and developing this system at level C instead of level A was itself

obviously some kind of process failure. I believe finding out how that happened is one of the investigation's objectives.

Ada and other Languages

Pointer Ownership, Containers and Cursors in Ada, Rust, SPARK

From: Randy Brukardt

<randy@rrsoftware.com>

Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2019 16:53:01 -0500

Subject: Re: Intervention needed?

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

[...]

My understanding is that the SPARK people are far into designing ownership contracts for Spark.

It's also possible that Ada 2020 will have a form of pointer ownership. (Unfortunately, we didn't make any conclusions on that during yesterday's meeting, so it's still in limbo, and we're getting very close to the finish line.) The current problem is that in Ada 2020 as it stands, it's not possible to write a containers implementation in pure Ada. You'd have to have some implementation hack to turn off some of the Legality Rules. Tucker has designed a solution, based on an ownership mechanism, but as it is new and barely vetted, it's unclear what we will do with it ultimately. Note that this solution will not provide the perfect safety that you would get with SPARK or Rust, but it would form the foundation of the SPARK solution and it would clearly catch a lot of issues with using pointers to implement ADTs. (And there is little other reason to use pointers, IMHO.)

From: Randy Brukardt

<randy@rrsoftware.com>

Date: Mon, 18 Mar 2019 18:36:15 -0500

[...]

But a better question is whether the Rust borrow checker allows building proper ADTs for most data structures. Most of Tucker's proposals didn't have a safe way to build typical data structures like a doubly-linked list or the parent pointer of a tree structure. Leaving out these backward pointers means adding a substantial performance degradation for (possibly) common operations like node deletion. Depending on what you're doing, that could be a non-starter. I haven't had a chance to actually look at Rust's actual rules; Ada is hard enough and as we're in the home stretch for Ada 2020, I literally don't have time for much else. (Probably shouldn't be answering this message...)

Tucker's latest proposal does address the back pointer problem. So at least that can be done with checks.

[...]

*From: Randy Brukardt
<randy@rrsoftware.com>
Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2019 18:01:19 -0500*

[...]

Some background here: The basic idea behind pointer ownership is to prevent various issues by enforcing an invariant -- that each allocated object is stored in exactly one pointer object. This is enforced with a variety of runtime and compile-time rules.

Now, it's clear that one can't even walk a data structure that way, so the idea of "borrowing" a pointer for a limited time was invented. Such borrowing has to be done in carefully controlled ways in order to keep it being safe -- for instance, no one can read or write the original pointer while it is borrowed.

Multiple long-lived pointers that point at a single object are simply not allowed. In part, that's done by making assignment either illegal or a move (where the source is nulled when the pointer is assigned).

For something like a cursor, that means that Rust-pointers couldn't be used to create the object. The entire point of a cursor is that it is a long-lived handle to a specific element in a larger data structure. One can't null out part of the data structure to create the handle, and if the assignment is banned completely, you could never create a valid cursor object in the first place.

There are similar issues with back pointers in a data structure, as you might guess.

*From: Randy Brukardt
<randy@rrsoftware.com>
Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2019 18:13:45 -0500*

[...]

A cursor is a handle accessing an element of a larger container, nothing more or less than that. The primary usage is to connect data structures made up of multiple containers. For instance, consider a compiler symbol table. There is a tree structure that represents each of the declarations and their scopes, and a map structure that represents a mapping of names to nodes of the tree. The contents of that map is going to be tree cursors, each representing a declaration with a particular name.

[...]

The value of cursors is that they can be implemented by a range of abstractions with a range of checking, from array indices (as in the bounded containers and the vector) to pointers with a variety of schemes from no dangling checking to the bulletproof controlled cursor scheme.

[...]

*From: Jere <jhb.chat@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, 22 Mar 2019 03:54:03 -0700*

[...]

Not really. In Rust they don't even use cursors. They go straight to iterators. On top of that, the combination of being able to specify the lifetime of all of your variables (if you need to) and the ownership rules gives them 100% safety from dangling references when they create and use those iterators.

[...]

*From: Randy Brukardt
<randy@rrsoftware.com>
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2019 02:53:32 -0500*

If you don't store any cursors and just use iterators in Ada, you have the same level of safety: the tampering checks prevent any problems with iterators. (Well, unless you turn them off, of course, but if you remove the seatbelts, you can't much complain that they didn't protect you.)

I'd be interested to find out how Rust deals with the need to designate individual elements of a container (which is the primary reason that Ada exposes cursors).

*From: Jere <jhb.chat@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2019 06:59:35 -0700*

Keep in mind the rust paradigm is very different than Ada's. When you obtain an iterator of a container, you borrow ownership of the container. At that point it is impossible to tamper with the container because it is a compile time error to modify the container when something else has ownership of it. It's a compile time version of Ada's tampering checks using an ownership model. If you need to remove items as you iterate, there is a consuming version of the iterator that allows for that. It handles all the logic of keeping the iterator correct as you remove items. If you need to remove only specific items, it provides functions for that as well (but you would not iterate while using them do to the ownership/borrowing rules).

Additionally, Rust allows you to specify the lifetime of the iterator, the lifetime of the reference to the item, and how they relate to the lifetime of the container so that the compiler can guarantee that nothing dangles (it's a relative specification..container has lifetime A and everything else has either A or a lifetime relative to A).

If you were instead referring to indexing the container, for things like vector, Rust looks to see if the container implements the Index trait, and, if so, allows for the user to use the index operation on the container. It's very similar to making a cursor and setting the variable_indexing aspect and constant_indexing aspect, except rust doesn't expose the underlying

equivalent cursor type. So you either work with Indexes or Iterators depending on your need.

*From: Jere <jhb.chat@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2019 18:20:54 -0700*

[...]

Rust has a couple levels of ownership and borrowing. It employs both spacial and temporal ownership rules. The 90% solution uses spacial rules (the ones you are most likely familiar with). For managing data across threads or doing complex data types, Rust also provides temporal ownership/borrowing. Think of the same distinction Ada has for named access types vs anonymous access types. You can catch a lot more at compile time with named access types, but anonymous access types can potentially have more runtime checking. In Rust, the standard reference scheme you are probably familiar with employ spacial ownership. If you need temporal ownership, then for single threaded you use things like the RC<> generic (reference counted), and for multi-threaded you use things like the ARC<> generic (atomic reference counted). There are other things of similar nature. These employ temporal rules, which can potentially require runtime checks, though the presence of the spacial ownership rules can help optimize out a lot of the run time checks. I kind of mentioned this above, but the spacial and temporal rules aren't mutually exclusive. They can work together when needed.

[...]

*From: Olivier Henley
<olivier.henley@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 13 Mar 2019 06:44:05 -0700*

On Wednesday, March 13, 2019 at 5:10:31 AM UTC-4, Maciej Sobczak wrote:

> So, seriously - what's wrong with pointers in Rust?

From that excerpt [1] by Oliver Scherer (Rust compiler contributor), it looks like the ownership aspect that comes with them is a real improvement:

"The two (obviously not a good amount of datapoints) large scale refactorings in Ada software that I've been part of have resulted in horrible hacks where people just spammed protected and pragma everywhere to get stuff working and bug free. The protected injections are because it's nearly impossible to figure out which things are accessed by multiple tasks without SPARK and you end up with undefined behaviour if you accidentally have a shared access to an unprotected memory location. The pragmas were reconfiguring things like stack size or disabling compiler warnings without actually thinking about what these changes meant.

Refactorings in Rust on the other hand are (compile-time) guaranteed to be free of

race conditions, no matter how crazy you move stuff around or create new parallelism. Additionally the ownership concept lead to many libraries typestate encoding their API which makes misusing them a near impossibility (at compile-time) while Ada mainly catches those misuses at runtime via exceptions."

[...]

[1] "Why Rust was the best thing that could have happened to Ada".
https://www.reddit.com/r/ada/comments/7wzrqi/why_rust_was_the_best_thing_that_could_have/

From: "Randy Brukardt"

<randy@rrsoftware.com>

Date: Thu, 14 Mar 2019 17:41:12 -0500

Obviously, if your existing code isn't documented properly as to what needs to be task-safe, then refactoring it isn't going to work very well. Refactoring bad code is just going to give you bad code. :-) And almost all code in any language is bad code, because at some point people turned to "just make it work" mode, and stopped doing the things necessary for the code to be understandable. Using Ada helps, but surely doesn't eliminate this point.

In any case, Ada 2020 is very much about addressing this point. The new Nonblocking and Global contracts make it possible to declare tasking and memory side-effects, and the "conflict check policies" allow using that to prevent data races. (Note that there is a difference between a "data race", and "race conditions"; there are plenty of race conditions that aren't data races, and no programming language can statically prevent the latter, since they're caused of a sequence of operations. Well, other than not having any task interactions in the first place. :-)

In addition, conflict checks are enabled by default on the new parallel constructs, so you have to work at causing problems. (The parallel constructs are safer anyway, since they do not allow blocking, so there aren't any rendezvous and entry calls to worry about.) And they can be enabled on tasks as well (not done by default for the obvious reason of compatibility - but also for capability, tasks should mainly be used in Ada 2020 when one needs rendezvous and other constructs that can't be checked at compile-time).

The issue with this is that a dereference of an access value is almost always going to cause a conflict and thus be illegal. And the contracts for the containers are designed so that they can be used in parallel operations (presuming the actual parameters to the instance allow that). This means that no access types can be used to implement the containers, which is nonsense for the unbounded and indefinite containers. The ownership stuff is a proposal to limit that in the case of building ADTs, including the containers.

From: Olivier Henley

<olivier.henley@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 13 Mar 2019 06:23:59 -0700

Thanks to those who brought 'material' to the discussion.

- a. The Rust thread is now closed and we did not slide into a flame war. Very good.
- b. We definitely enlightened a whole bunch. You have no idea how many Rustaceans do not even know Ada exists. After all, awareness and politics are important. Very good.
- c. From Randy's post, I find it exciting to see that this 'episode' is of actuality regarding Ada202X. Very good.

Thx

Ada Practice

Interviews to Ada Practitioners

From: Alejandro R. Mosteo

<amosteo@unizar.es>

Date: Fri, 24 May 2019

Subject: Interviews to Ada enthusiasts

Tomek Wałkuski

<tomek.walkuski@gmail.com>, co-

founder at 98elements [5], is running a series of interviews [1] to people from the Ada community. Here is a list with a few extracted words from each interview since the last AUJ Issue:

- Fabien Chouteau interview [2]: "My name is Fabien Chouteau, I am embedded software engineer at AdaCore, hobbyist in electronics, instrument making and woodworking. [...] A couple years ago I started the Ada Drivers Library project, at first it was just a way to have fun with an ARM Cortex-M micro-controller board and see how Ada can be used on such hardware. It became a one stop shop for getting started in embedded Ada programming and sparked many other projects [...]"
- Edward Fish interview [3]: "I was introduced to Ada in one single class, Programming Languages, which did a high-level introduction/survey of various languages and instantly felt at-home. It did raise the question as to why a lot of the features aren't common in more languages [...]"
- Stéphane Carrez interview [4]: "Later I created another computer board based on 68HC11 and to use it I also did a complete port of the GNU compiler, the GNU binutils and the GNU debugger. My work was integrated in the FSF sources in 2000. The GNAT Ada compiler was working! I was able to run a small Ada program that fit in less than 256 bytes!"

[1] <https://tomekw.com/tag/interview/>

[2] <https://tomekw.com/ada-programmers-fabien-chouteau/>

[3] <https://tomekw.com/ada-programmers-edward-fish/>

[4] <https://tomekw.com/ada-programmers-stephane-carrez/>

[5] <https://98elements.com/>

Integer type with gaps

From: mario.blunk.gplus@gmail.com

Date: Fri, 29 Mar 2019 09:10:40 -0700

Subject: type definition for an integer with discrete range

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Hello,

I'm looking for a way to define a type that runs from let say -100 to +100 with gaps of 5 width. Important is to make sure that a value like 7 cannot be assigned to the type.

something like:

```
type number is new integer range
```

```
-100 .. 100;
```

```
-- or
```

```
subtype number is integer range
```

```
-100 .. 100;
```

```
-- with this special thing or something like
```

```
-- that:
```

```
for number'small use 5; -- cannot applied
```

```
-- here. works with fixed point types only
```

Thanks !

From: Simon Wright

<simon@pushface.org>

Date: Fri, 29 Mar 2019 21:24:53 +0000

[...]

What about this?

```
pragma Assertion_Policy (Check);
```

```
with Ada.Text_IO; use Ada.Text_IO;
```

```
procedure Type_Integer is
```

```
  subtype Number is Integer range
```

```
    -100 .. 100
```

```
  with Dynamic_Predicate => Number
```

```
    mod 5 = 0;
```

```
  V : Number;
```

```
  begin
```

```
    V := 0;
```

```
    Put_Line ("0'image is " & V'Image);
```

```
    V := -50;
```

```
    Put_Line ("-50'image is " & V'Image);
```

```
    V := 42;
```

```
    Put_Line ("42'image is " & V'Image);
```

```
  end Type_Integer;
```

Executing gives

```
$ ./type_integer
```

```
0'image is 0
```

```
-50'image is -50
```

```
raised SYSTEM.ASSERTIONS.
```

```
ASSERT_FAILURE : Dynamic_Predicate
```

```
failed at type_integer.adb:12
```

Gauss Error Function in Ada

From: leov@gammawizard.com
Date: Mon, 1 Apr 2019 09:28:58 -0700
Subject: Erfc() function in ADA
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Greetings, I have been looking into reimplementing a collection of numerical heavy code from R/C++ into ADA and so far things seem doable. My only question is about the support for the error function and in particular the complementary error function `erfc()`. I assume this is library dependent so I would appreciate any information if `erfc()` is part of the ADA standard library or perhaps provided by GNAT in some form?

From: gautier_niouzes@hotmail.com
Date: Mon, 1 Apr 2019 10:01:07 -0700

You can get easily the error function from the Phi function which is available in the following library:

<http://mathpaqs.sourceforge.net/>

From: gautier_niouzes@hotmail.com
Date: Tue, 2 Apr 2019 03:39:57 -0700

A few random remarks...

- 1) For further references: there is now in Mathpaqs (rev. 153+) a separate `Erf` function package. Since `Phi` function uses `Erf(x)` anyway, it's better to have access to `Erf` directly.
- 2) About the Numerical Recipes: be careful, some versions support only 7-8 digits (single precision), so numerical errors cumulate very quickly.
- 3) Some good stuff can be found in the Alglib and Cephes libraries, in C, Fortran or Pascal
- 4) Simple special functions (with one parameter) could well be in an official `Ada.Numerics.Generic_Special_Functions` (low maintenance effort for compiler vendors)
- 5) Don't forget to check: <https://www.adaic.org/ada-resources/tools-libraries/>
- 6) Perhaps the Alire system has some math packages?

Porting GNAT bare-board runtime to a new target

From: Daniel Way
<p.waydan@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, 7 Apr 2019 19:13:07 -0700
Subject: Understanding GNAT Bare Board Run-time for Cortex-M
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

I'm trying to port the bare-board GNAT run-time to a Coretex-M0+ (NXP KV11Z7) processor. I'm new to concurrency and have been reading through the run-times for the STM32 targets to understand how the tasks and protected objects are implemented,

however, there seems to be a web of dependencies between the different packages and wrappers of wrappers of wrappers for types and subprograms.

- * Is there any tool available to scan through the source code and generate a graphical call graph to help visualize the different dependencies?
- * Has anyone on the forum successfully ported a bare-board run-time? What was your experience and do you have any tips?
- * Is porting the run-time just a matter of updating the linker, a few packages, and a GPR script, or is there some fundamental implementation changes to consider?

Thank you,

Daniel

From: Simon Wright
<simon@pushface.org>
Date: Mon, 08 Apr 2019 08:36:59 +0100

Daniel Way <p.waydan@gmail.com> writes:

- > I'm trying to port the bare-board GNAT run-time to a Coretex-M0+ (NXP KV11Z7) processor. I'm new to concurrency and have been reading through the run-times for the STM32 targets to understand how the tasks and protected objects are implemented, however, there seems to be a web of dependencies between the different packages and wrappers of wrappers of wrappers for types and subprograms.

Yes.

- > * Is there any tool available to scan through the source code and generate a graphical call graph to help visualize the different dependencies?

Pass.

- > * Has anyone on the forum successfully ported a bare-board run-time? What was your experience and do you have any tips?

AdaCore have published a guide for porting their runtime[0].

GNAT CE 2018 includes a ravenstar-sfp-microbit runtime.

My Cortex GNAT RTS[1] is based on FreeRTOS[2] and includes an RTS for the nRF51 as found in the BBC micro:bit. That's a cortex-m0, but as far as I can see [3] the differences from the m0+ are minimal.

The main issue I had was with the clock; the nRF51 doesn't have a system tick, instead I had to use RTC1 (I think AdaCore used RTC0).

- > * Is porting the run-time just a matter of updating the linker, a few packages, and a GPR script, or is there some fundamental implementation changes to consider?

That would be it (also the `runtime.xml` file) but the problem is identifying `_which_` packages to change! I wouldn't expect many from the microbit RTS, it's likely to be clock setup and interrupt naming. It would help if you had an SVD to generate the board peripheral dependencies.

[0] https://github.com/AdaCore/bb-runtimes/tree/community-2018/doc/porting_runtime_for_cortex_m

[1] <https://github.com/simonjwright/cortex-gnat-rts>

[2] <https://www.freertos.org>

[3] <https://community.cypress.com/docs/DOC-10652>

From: Niklas Holsti

<niklas.holsti@tidorum.invalid>

Date: Mon, 8 Apr 2019 10:46:56 +0300

On 19-04-08 05:13, Daniel Way wrote:

[...]

- > * Is there any tool available to scan through the source code and generate a graphical call graph to help visualize the different dependencies?

I know of no free tool that generates graphical call-graphs. I've used the non-graphical call tree information from GPS.

- > * Has anyone on the forum successfully ported a bare-board run-time? What was your experience and do you have any tips?

In my last project, I ported the small-footprint Ravenscar run-time for the SPARC architecture from the generic off-the-shelf AdaCore version to a specific SPARC LEON2 processor embedded in an SoC for processing satellite navigation signals, the AGGA-4 SoC.

My advice is to first understand the differences between the original target processor and the new target processor, especially in these areas:

- Basic processor architecture, and especially if there is some difference in the instruction set or in the sets of registers that must be saved and restored in a task switch. In my case there was no difference, so I did not have to modify the task-switch code nor the Task Control Block structure. For porting across various models of the same processor architecture, perhaps the most likely difference is in the presence or absence of a floating-point unit and dedicated floating-point registers.
- The HW timers. In my case the RTS used two HW timers, and there were some differences: the bit-width was different (32 instead of 24) and the HW addresses and interrupt numbers were different. The corresponding parts of the RTS had to be adapted, but in my case the changes were small, and the logic of the code did not change.

- Interrupts and traps. Differences may have to be implemented in the assembly-language code that initially handles interrupts and traps. In my case, the architecture was the same (the structure of the trap table and most of the HW error traps) but the set of external interrupt traps was different, because of the particular I/O devices available on the new target. This difference (if any) becomes visible to application programs through Ada.Interrupts.

- "Console" I/O, usually some form of UART accessible via GNAT.Text_IO. In my case, the UARTs in the new target were quite different from the standard LEON2 UARTs, so I had to reimplement the low-level I/O operations (Put character, Put string, etc.).

- Memory layout. Where in the address space is the ROM (or flash), where is the RAM, where are the I/O control registers? Any differences in the layout must be implemented in the linker command script, which in my case was a file called leon.ld. The Ada RTS code probably does not have to change for this reason, and did not change in my case.

Once all that is sorted out, you will probably have to modify the start-up assembly-language code, which in my case was in the file crt0.S. This deals with HW initialization (clearing registers, stopping any I/O that might be running, disabling interrupts, etc.) and SW initialization, which means to set up the stack for the environment task and then enter the body of that task.

> * Is porting the run-time just a matter of updating the linker, a few packages, and a GPR script, or is there some fundamental implementation changes to consider?

If you are porting from one implementation of the same architecture to another (in your case ARM Cortex M<n> with the Thumb-1/2 instruction sets, if I understand right), IMO it is unlikely that any fundamental changes are required. However, if there are differences in the instruction set (with M0+ omitting some instructions available larger members and perhaps used in the original RTS) be sure to use the correct target options for the compiler so as to avoid generating code that will not run on the M0+. If there is a major difference in instruction sets (say, porting from Thumb-2 to Thumb-1) you will have to review and perhaps modify all the assembly-language RTS parts, and all assembly-language code insertions in the Ada RTS code, and all the code in crt0.S.

HTH. I think others on this group have more experience with ARM Cortex run-time systems and can probably offer better advice.

Heart of Darkness

From: "J-P. Rosen" <rosen@adalog.fr>
Date: Sat, 20 Apr 2019 17:58:48 +0200
Subject: Re: Anonymous Access and Accessibility Levels
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

Le 20/04/2019 à 17:29, Jere a écrit :

> I was trying to get a bit better at understanding how accessibility levels work with respect to anonymous access types. I have GNAT to test out things, but I think I am running into various bugs, so I am not seeing the exceptions or compilation errors I would expect. It could also be that I misunderstand the rules (They are difficult somewhat).

In my tutorial about memory management, I explain that there are 34 special cases in 3.10.2 (AKA "heart of darkness"). Enter at your own risk.

From: "Randy Brukardt"
<randy@rsoftware.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Apr 2019 18:27:52 -0500
[...]

I suspect that accessibility implemented by compilers is essentially whatever the ACATS tests require. I know that I've never spent time on it in Janus/Ada beyond that -- it simply isn't worth self-inflicted pain. Thus, my advice is that accessibility works like one would expect in basic cases, and do not go beyond basic cases unless you like pain.

From: "Randy Brukardt"
<randy@rsoftware.com>
Date: Mon, 22 Apr 2019 17:11:19 -0500

[...] there are special rules for allocators, for objects created as return objects, and many other special cases. [...]

As always, I suggest the following rules:

(1) Do not use anonymous access types unless you absolutely need one of the special capabilities that can only be done with them.

(2) Under no circumstances, do anything that cannot be checked statically. (So no one should use dynamic accessibility checks of anonymous access parameters or SAOAATs [Editor's note: Stand-Alone Object of an Anonymous Access Type]).

(3) Think three times before depending upon access parameter dispatching and anonymous access-to-subprograms.

(A) If you find that you really need these things, complain to the ARG that you should be able to but cannot do these things with named access types. (This limitation is idiotic, as it requires repeating long declarations at every usage.) [I need help getting this fixed!!]

(4) Keep access types out of visible specifications (since they make memory management much harder, and locks in clients to suboptimal memory management).

From: "Randy Brukardt"
<randy@rsoftware.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Apr 2019 18:21:57 -0500
[...]

In any case, I don't believe that dynamic accessibility checking buys anything at all. Indeed, 98% of my code has to resort to 'Unchecked_Access in order to be compilable at all. I generally wrap the uses in a controlled type that cleans up the accesses as needed (that's how Claw works, for instance). The dynamic checks are mainly a hazard to be avoided rather than anything helpful. (Unlike a static check, it's hard to prove that a dynamic check can't fail, so it remains as a hazard for a future call added in maintenance.)

Licensing woes

[Often, when Ada compilers are discussed, the licensing model of GNAT arises in conversation. What follows discusses the limitations imposed by the pure GPLv3 license of the GNAT runtime in Community editions —News Editor.]

From: Maciej Sobczak
<see.my.homepage@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 27 May 2019 23:43:06 -0700
Subject: Re: Needed - Ada 2012 Compiler.
Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

On Tuesday, May 28, 2019 at 1:25:03 AM UTC+2, Optikos wrote:

> Hence why Alex was correctly indicating that GPL Community Edition forestalls most practical forms of commercial business activity

I have an impression that nowadays "most forms of commercial business activity" involve setting up an account for accessing whatever on-line service.

This is why most apps today are free, anyway. In this context, GPL license on the app is not a problem at all.

No, I do not applaud the GPL licensing. I only state that the landscape of "commercial business activity" has significantly changed from what it was say two decades ago.

I also think that you are overestimating the willingness of customers to engage in further business activity of reproducing and re-selling what they have bought from you. This concept is being demonized since ever, but I don't think it has any bigger significance than a "traditional" counterfeiting.

No, I don't applaud GPL as a licensing scheme. I just don't consider it to be a showstopper.

> by entirely prohibiting AdaCore-esque dual licensing

Wrong. You can write your program (or a library) and sell it in the form of source code with whatever license you wish and allow your customer to compile it using whatever compiler they have. The

compiler that you have used to verify (!) your product has no impact on the licensing of your source code. Thus, dual- or closed- licensing is still possible. Feel free to complete this scheme with any kind of NDA or other forms of legal agreements with your customers. From: Maciej Sobczak <see.my.homepage@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, 28 May 2019 22:54:03 -0700

[...] let's go back a little to better understand the workflow.

1. You write some code. It can be a standalone app or a library.
2. You can put whatever license you wish on your source code.
3. You can deliver it (the source code!) to your users with that license.

Finished.

OK, so you think it might be a good idea to verify this code a little bit before selling it to your customers - you know, test it or at least check whether it compiles at all. So you add an additional points to the scheme above:

- 1a. You compile your code with whatever compiler you have.

1b. You run your tests or perform whatever other verification activities to make sure that your product has an expected quality level.

These two points have no impact on points 2. and 3. above.

I will agree that this scheme is not satisfactory for the case of applications distributed via App Stores, or for users who don't want to be involved in technical activities like compiling something on their own - this is understandable, and in such cases a turn-key product needs to be delivered. But it is a very satisfactory scheme for the case of libraries, which become included in this kind of workflow on the user side anyway.

Ada in Jest

Lightening the mood in serious discussion

From: Jeffrey R. Carter

<spam.jrcarter.not@spam.not.acm.org>

Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2019 16:41:28 -0500

Subject: Re: Intervention needed?

Newsgroups: comp.lang.ada

I have no desire to register to post on a [Rust] forum full of people who like to use pointers. It's bad enough being on one full of people who like to use anonymous access types.

From: J-P. Rosen <rosen@adalog.fr>

Date: Fri, 22 Mar 2019 15:09:36 +0100

Le 22/03/2019 à 12:10, Lucretia a écrit : .

>> He [a Rust forum poster] also told me that Ada compilers aren't allowed to do certain kinds of optimizations that for example c, c++ (and Rust and other PL via LLVM) are doing.

>

> How true is this?

In Ada, the principle is that the compiler has an obligation of result, i.e. that the "external effect" (see 1.1.3(9)) of the compiled program must be the same as the effect defined by the canonical execution.

Basically, this means that the compiler can do any optimization provided the result is correct. Going farther than that would mean allowing the compiler to generate incorrect programs... Maybe that's what C/C++ compilers are doing ;-)